REMARKS

This paper is responsive to a Final Office Action mailed on November 30, 2005. Prior to this amendment, claims 12, 15-21, 24, 26-30, 38-40, and 42 were pending. After canceling claims 38-40, claims 12, 15-21, 24, 26-30, and 42 remain pending.

Section 1 of the Office Action objects to claims 15-21, 24, 26-30, and 39-40. In response, the claims have been amended to recite "The method".

In Section 2 of the Office Action claims 38 and 40 have been rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as anticipated by Lin et al. (US 6,800,910).

In Section 3 of the Office Action claim 39 has been rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as unpatentable over Lin et al. (US 6,800,910), in view of Murakami et al. (US 5,241,197).

Section 4 states that claims 12, 15-21, 24, 26-30, and 42 have been allowed. In response, the Applicant has canceled claims 38-40. Note, the Applicant does not agree with the stated reasons for the rejection of claims 38-40.

It is believed that the application is in condition for allowance and reconsideration is earnestly solicited.

Respectfully submitted,

Date: 12/19/2005

Gerald Maliszewski Registration No. 38,054

Customer Number 55,286 P.O. Box 270829

San Diego, CA 92198-2829

Telephone: (858) 451-9950 Facsimile: (858) 451-9869

gerry@ipatentit.net